

1 ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL
2 Neil H. O'Donnell (State Bar No. 057928)
3 nodonnell@rjo.com
4 John G. Heller (State Bar No. 129901)
5 jheller@rjo.com
6 Lauren Kramer Sujeeth (State Bar No. 259821)
7 lsujeeth@rjo.com
8 Eleanor M. Ross (admitted *pro hac vice*)
9 eross@rjo.com
10 311 California Street, 10th Fl.
11 San Francisco, California 94104
12 Telephone: 415.956.2828
13 Facsimile: 415.956.6457

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 CGI FEDERAL INC.

16 HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
17 Ann Marie Mortimer (SBN 169077)
18 Kirk A. Hornbeck (SBN 241708)
19 550 South Hope Street, Suite 2000
20 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2627
21 Telephone: (213)532-2000
22 Facsimile: (213) 532-2020

23 Attorneys for Defendant
24 AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC.

25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
26 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

27 CGI FEDERAL INC., a Delaware
28 Corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC., an Ohio
Corporation,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01781-JAM-KJN

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
DEADLINES FOR DEFENDANT TO
AMEND ITS AFFIRMATIVE
DEFENSES AND FOR PLAINTIFF TO
FILE A MOTION TO STRIKE
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES; ORDER**

Honorable John A. Mendez

1 Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 144(a), Plaintiff CGI
2 Federal, Inc. (“CGI”) and Defendant Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc. (“Aerojet”), by and through
3 their counsel, hereby stipulate to extend the deadlines for amendment of the third, fourth,
4 fifth, seventh, eighth, ninth and tenth affirmative defenses set forth in Aerojet’s Answer to
5 First Amended Complaint (ECF 26) and any motion to strike those affirmative defenses as
6 follows:

7 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred regarding the third, fourth,
8 fifth, seventh, eighth, ninth and tenth affirmative defenses asserted by Aerojet in its Answer
9 to First Amended Complaint, and specifically CGI’s contention that these affirmative
10 defenses lack requisite factual specificity under applicable law;

11 WHEREAS, Aerojet desires additional time to evaluate and potentially amend
12 these affirmative defenses;

13 WHEREAS, the parties wish to avoid needless motion practice and therefore
14 stipulate to an extension of time for Aerojet to amend its third, fourth, fifth, seventh, eighth,
15 ninth and tenth affirmative defenses and for CGI to file any motion to strike Aerojet’s
16 affirmative defenses;

17 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the
18 parties through their counsel that the deadline for Aerojet to amend such affirmative defenses
19 shall be continued to January 11, 2021, and the deadline for CGI to file a motion to strike
20 Aerojet’s affirmative defenses shall be January 18, 2021. This extension does not exceed the
21 28 days allowed under Eastern District of California Local Rule 144(a), and is the first
22 stipulation between the parties related to CGI’s first amended complaint and Aerojet’s
23 Answer to First Amended Complaint.

24 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

25 Dated: December 29, 2020

ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL

26 /s/ John G. Heller

27 By: _____

28 JOHN G. HELLER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CGI FEDERAL INC.

1 Dated: December 29, 2020

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

2 /s/ Kirk A. Hornbeck

3 By: _____

4 KIRK A. HORNBECK
5 Attorneys for Defendant
6 AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC.

7
8 **ATTORNEY'S E-FILING ATTESTATION**

9 As the attorney e-filing this document, I hereby attest that each of the other signatories
10 whose electronic signature appears above has concurred in this filing.

11 Dated: December 30, 2020

ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL

12 /s/ John G. Heller

13 By: _____

14 JOHN G. HELLER
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 CGI FEDERAL INC.

1 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the deadline for Aerojet to file any amended
2 answer to complaint is January 11, 2021 and the deadline for CGI to file any motion to strike
3 affirmative defenses is January 18, 2021.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6
7 DATED: December 30, 2020

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE